

H

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/774,399	LAGRANGE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher Verdier	3745	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christopher Verdier. (3) Benjamin Lagrange, Inventor.
 (2) Chris Comuntzis, Attorney of Record. (4) Timothy Lloyd, Inventor.

Date of Interview: 25 July 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: a model of the turbine stage with interleaved fillets and tangs.

Claim(s) discussed: 10-40.

Identification of prior art discussed: United Kingdom Patent 677,142 and Webb 3,202,398.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

CHRISTOPHER VERDIER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants proposed amending independent claims 10, 11, and 29 to more specifically recite that the straight surfaces of each of the two uppermost tangs on either side of the center line bisecting each of the buckets define the two points of the line that forms the angle of 25.78 degrees with the center line. The examiner indicated that while appreciated, the amendment raised new issues that would require further searching. The examiner suggested claiming more of the geometry of the specific angles and/or the radii of curvature.